

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of:

Kil-soo Jung et al.

Application No. 10/783,038

Art Unit: 2481

Confirmation No. 3802

Filed: February 23, 2004

Examiner: Oluwaseun Adegeye

For: APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR DECODING DATA FOR PROVIDING BROWSABLE
SLIDE SHOW, AND DATA STORAGE MEDIUM THEREFOR

REQUEST FOR CORRECTED NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE

Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is in response to the Notice of Allowance mailed August 10, 2011. The Issue Fee and the Publication Fee have not yet been paid.

ATTENTION—OFFICE OF DATA MANAGEMENT: This Request for Corrected Notice of Allowance must be remanded to the Examiner for consideration, and cannot be handled by the Office of Data Management.

Interview Summary for Interview of October 21, 2008

Pages 11 and 12 of the Amendment of May 12, 2011, contain the following discussion of the Interview Summary for the Interview of October 21, 2008:

A personal interview was conducted on October 21, 2008, between the Examiner, Oluwaseun A. Adegeye; one of the applicants' previous attorneys, Gregory Clinton; one of the inventors, Kil-soo Jung; and a representative of the assignee, Young-ok Hyung. The applicants filed a statement of substance

for the interview on December 2, 2008, which is in the image file wrapper of the present application.

At the conclusion of the interview of October 21, 2008, the Examiner gave the attorney an unofficial copy of the Interview Summary for the interview. However, the Office never mailed an official copy of the Interview Summary to the applicants, and never placed an official copy of the Interview Summary in the image file wrapper of the present application. On March 4, 2011, the undersigned attorney, Randall S. Svihla, called the Examiner and brought this to the Examiner's attention. The Examiner checked his files but was unable to find the Interview Summary for the interview of October 21, 2008. The Examiner stated that he would discuss this matter with his supervisor to see what he should do, but he never called the attorney back.

Accordingly, to complete the record, attached hereto is a copy of the Interview Summary for the interview of October 21, 2008, that the Examiner gave to the previous attorney at the conclusion of the interview of October 21, 2008. It is respectfully requested that the Office place a copy of this Interview Summary in the image file wrapper of the present application with a document code of "EXIN" and a document description of "Examiner Interview Summary Record (PTOL - 413)" with an indication in the transaction history that the interview was conducted on October 21, 2008.

However, the Notice of Allowability included in the Notice of Allowance of August 10, 2011, makes no reference whatsoever to the above discussion, and a copy of the Interview Summary for the interview of October 21, 2008, that was attached to the Amendment of May 12, 2011, has not been placed in the image file wrapper of the present application with a document code of "EXIN" and a document description of "Examiner Interview Summary Record (PTOL - 413)" with an indication in the transaction history that the interview was conducted on October 21, 2008, as the applicants requested.

The copy of the Interview Summary for the interview of October 21, 2008, that was attached to the Amendment of May 12, 2011, is in the image file wrapper of the present application as page 16 of the 16-page document with a Mail Room Date of May 12, 2011, a document code of "REM," and a document description of "Applicant Arguments/Remarks Made in an Amendment."

Accordingly, it is once again respectfully requested that a copy of the Interview Summary for the interview of October 21, 2008, that was attached to the Amendment of

May 12, 2011, be been placed in the image file wrapper of the present application with a document code of "EXIN" and a document description of "Examiner Interview Summary Record (PTOL - 413)" with an indication in the transaction history that the interview was conducted on October 21, 2008.

Information Disclosure Statements

An Information Disclosure Statement listing the following references was attached to the Amendment of May 12, 2011:

US 2002/0164152

US 2003/0138238

US 2003/0223735

Canadian Office Action issued on June 1, 2009, in counterpart
Canadian Application No. 2,483,582 (3 pages).

Japanese Office Action issued on March 9, 2010, in counterpart
Japanese Application No. 2006-502714 (2 pages, in Japanese, no
English translation).

As explained on page 13 of the Amendment of May 12, 2011, the Canadian Office Action was cited in the Information Disclosure Statement of September 1, 2009, but there were errors in the listing of this reference in that Information Disclosure Statement, so a corrected listing of this reference was provided in the Information Disclosure Statement attached to the Amendment of May 12, 2011.

Also, as explained on page 13 of the Amendment of May 12, 2011, the Japanese Office Action was cited in the Information Disclosure Statement of May 27, 2010, but there were errors in the listing of this reference in that Information Disclosure Statement, so a corrected listing of this reference was provided in the Information Disclosure Statement attached to the Amendment of May 12, 2011.

On pages 13 and 14 of the Amendment of May 12, 2011, the applicants requested that the Office provide the following items with the next Office Action:

(1) a copy of the Information Disclosure Statement attached to the
Amendment of May 12, 2011, marked to indicate that all of the
references have been considered;

(2) a copy of the Information Disclosure Statement of September 1, 2009, with a line drawn through the erroneous listing of the Canadian Office Action to prevent this erroneous listing from being used in printing the "References Cited" section of any patent that may issue from the present application, and marked to indicate that the other reference has been considered; and

(3) a copy of the Information Disclosure Statement of May 27, 2010, with a line drawn through the erroneous listing of the Japanese Office Action to prevent this erroneous listing from being used in printing the "References Cited" section of any patent that may issue from the present application, and marked to indicate that all of the other references have been considered.

The Notice of Allowability included in the Notice of Allowance of August 10, 2011, includes item (1) above, but does not include items (2) and (3) above. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the Office issue a corrected Notice of Allowance or other Office Communication providing items (2) and (3) above.

Should the Office be inclined to refuse to provide items (2) and (3) above on the grounds that providing such items (2) and (3) will not prevent the erroneous listing of the Canadian Office Action in the Information Disclosure Statement of September 1, 2009, and the erroneous listing of the Japanese Office Action in the Information Disclosure Statement of May 27, 2010, from being used in printing the "References Cited" section of the patent that is to issue from the present application, it is noted that where multiple copies of the same Information Disclosure Statement marked to indicate that the cited references have been considered are in the image file wrapper of an allowed application, the Office of Data Management is supposed to use only the copy with the latest date in printing the "References Cited" section of the patent that is to issue from the allowed application.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: September 9, 2011

/Randall S. Svihla/
Randall S. Svihla
Registration. No. 56,273

NSIP Law
1156 15th Street, NW, Suite 603
Washington, DC 20005
Telephone (202) 429-0020
Facsimile (202) 315-3758
CYP/RSS